



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/611,269	07/06/2000	Seiji Hashimoto	35.C14610	4807	
5514	7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			TRAN, I	TRAN, NHAN T	
) ROCKEFELLER PLAZA EW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/611,269	HASHIMOTO, SEIJI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhan T. Tran	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the pe					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	• • • •	r the appeal.				
		and NOTE below):				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or	Toctici form for appear by mate	naily reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	C.					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 7.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>33 and 34</u> .	,					
8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on <u>01 November 2004</u>	is a)⊠ approved or b)☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. Other:						
	·					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding independent claim 1, the Applicant argues that Shigeki fails to teach reading out a noise signal obtained by resetting a read-out portion included in each pixel and the performance of a subtraction operation on that read-out noise signal. In response, the Examiner respectfully clarifies that Shigeki is not relied for the teaching of the limitations mentioned above since such limitations are already taught by Kozuka (see the Examiner's analysis in claim 1 in the Final Office Action). Shigeki is relied for the teaching of a detection portion to detect "an object condition" and a switching portion which switches over the correction processing in accordance to the detection portion. Therefore, Kozuka would be modified in view of the teaching of the dection portion and switching portion to prevent the signals to be exessively deducted when a sum of signal and noise in Kozuka exceeds a predetermined value. The Applicant also asserts that cited references do not teach a drive control portion. However, the drive control portion is inherent in the combination of Kozuka and Shigeki for the imaging apparatus to function as analyzed in the Final Office Action.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2